

Glen MacWilliams suggested that a motion be made that the Board acknowledges that the permit had expired and that an application to the Registry of Deeds be made, enabling the applicant to understand what they have. The motion was not seconded; the motion was not made. A possible amendment by Dave Marshall for the motion that was not made concerned investigation of whether the Shoreland permit had expired for the property, and in doing so, understanding whether the permit was part of the vested subdivision. This amendment was part of the incomplete motion, and not made.

Barrie Munro suggested that the Planning Board submit a letter to the Board of Selectmen insisting that the Planning Board be included in any future construction of this magnitude from the onset, rather than being brought in after basic agreements have been made. Glen MacWilliams said that a letter should be sent to DEP commissioner requesting adjudication with the possibility of requesting that selectmen fund burying the Spur Road segment of the line. Steve Burns said that any request to the DEP has to come from the Board of Selectmen.

In discussion, Glen MacWilliams pointed out that the plans that were given to Board members prior to the meeting no longer applied. He did not see how the application was complete for review when a description of the drainage had neither been supplied nor waived. Engineering information was omitted. The issues of drainage that comes across the road and the drainage easement had not been addressed, despite Public Works' request for information. Drainage water, special construction practices, drainage for landscaping, and drainage difficulties were issues Glen MacWilliams felt had to be addressed. Glenn Farrell added the high intensity soil survey and a grading plan to the list. Dave Marshall said that it had not been established if there would be 2 or 3 lots.

Mike Livingston said that what he really wanted to know was if, since the subdivision would be in the Shoreland Overlay Zone, if the lot coverage would be limited to 20%. Steve Burns looked it up and agreed that Mike Livingston was correct. The lot coverage was limited to 20%.

Vote The motion to accept the application as complete for review was defeated, 0-5.

Glenn Farrell said that the hearing had become more of a sketch meeting. Dave Marshall suggested that the shed be removed, enabling more parking. Glenn Farrell said that the garage couldn't be full of stuff and still be included as 2 spaces in the parking count. Steve Burns said that at some point, the outside deck seating had been resolved, but the approval needed to be tracked down. Dick Chase said he wanted to keep a space between the buildings, which Dan Remick said was a good idea. Glenn Farrell told Dick Chase to return with a more complete plan, so the Board can decide whether or not the setback would be possible. Also, complete parking calculations have to be submitted, and there will be no shed located in the setback.